MINNESOTA STATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES BOARD OF TRUSTEES AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES January 19, 2010

Audit Committee Members Presen**T**rustees Scott Thiss, Chair; Dan McElroy, David Paskach, and James Van Houten.

Audit Committee Members Absent: Jacob Englund.

Other Board Members Present: Trustees Duane Benson, Cheryl Dickson, Christopher Frederick, David Olson, and Tom Renier.

Leadership Council Committee Members Present: John Asmussen, President Pat Johns Laura King, and Gail Olson.

The Minnesota State Colleges and Universities audit committee held its meeting on January 19, 2010, at Wells Fargo Place, Aloor, Board Room, 30 East Street in St. Paul. Chair Thiss called the meeting to order at 1:26 p.m.

Approval of the Audit Committee Meeting Minutes

minutes. There was no dissent and the motion ca

Trustee Thiss asked what the expeditimeline was for the search process. Ms. Lamb stated that the first step would be to review and update the position description in order to generate the position announcements. She stated that there should be a period of thirty to sixty days forrecruiting to ensure that there would be the largest pool of applicants possible. She stated that they should expect another thirty to the goal would be to review of resumes and to conduct interviews. She stated that the goal would be to review of before the board with a recommendation for an appointment in 2016.

Trustee Van Houtestated that the audit committee should have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications they would like to see in the position before it went out for public posting. Trusse Thiss agreed.

Trustee Paskacasked if a consultant would be employed to conduct the search. Ms. Lamb stated that they were not recommending the use of a national common stated that would be a broad enough applicant pool locally and regionally. Trustee Paskach agreed but added that the membership of the screening committee should be

distance himself from the decisions of management.

Mr. Asmussen stated at 78% of prior audit findings from had been fully resolved, and he noted that number was down from 83% last year. He stated that the decline had been driven in part by a late influx of findings from the occupational trades **prog**valuation and the Office of Internal Auditing was in the process of conducting followin those findings. He further added that there also had been issues that had been unresolved for a period of time, including security related issues in the area of information technology. He stated that interim Vice Chancellor for Information Technology Services had given her assurances that progress was being made on those findings and that monthly reports were going to the Chancellor. Mr. Asmussen assured memberthey should see a higher rate of resolved findings in the next report.

Mr. Asmussen statethat the Office of the Legislative Auditor's findings, cited in September to the audit committeeould not have been repeat findintgat would have counted against the implementation rate noted that the findings had been cited at other colleges previously and had appeared at new colleges this year. He further noted that the Chancellor had made it clear to the presidents that he expected thuely to st other legislative audit reports to ensthet they idn't repeat similar mistakes Mr. Asmussen stated that his office was committed to doing an intensive-forlicatival of the colleges and universities to look specifically for those issues that heave recurring nature to try to offer assurance that lessons were being learned and that the same kind of findings would not occur in the future. Mr. Asmussen stated that because of this extensive followup project he would not be bringing a listrecommended projects for internal auditing to undertake this year.

Mr. Asmusserpointed out the quality assessmeter fort that the Office of Internal Auditing underwent this past yearle reminded members thaternal auditing standard required aninternal selfassessent every couple years augment the external review.

Mr. Asmussen stated that there were items that came out of the internal reviewat would attention in the next year The first item would be a five ear review of board policy 1D.1. The second item would be to extend the internal audit plan to ensure that there would be adequate audit coverage of the information technology operations. The final item would be to assess the completeness of management's risk assessment proces

Finally, Mr. Asmussen reminded members that the audit contract with DeWenter Viere had come to an end, stating threatly have completed six years of servaiced board policy limits the number of consecutive are that one firm can serve as pipal auditor at six years. He stated that a RFP to find a replacement principal auditor had been prepared and would be printed in the Minnesota State Register on Monday, January 25, 2010. Mr. Asmussen stated that they would have a recommendation for a new principal auditor for the audit committee March

Chair Thiss agreed that there should not be a special board project the snye asked that Mr. Asmussen report in March regarding the follop work that staff would be conducting Trustee Paskac

Van Houten added that we like to see arisentation program laid outdefore the new executive director of interal auditing was hired.

Trustee Van Houten expressed his appreciation of the work that Kern, DeWenter, Viere had done as the principal auditor over the past six years. Trustee Thiss concurred. Mr. Asmussen stated that Kern, DeWenter, Viere was still under contract to audit four of the individual campuses and added that he wouldkodosely with the chair through the search process for a new firm